

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/766,194	01/28/2004	Joseph Michael Lindacher	CL/V-32843A 3686	
31781 7	590 06/21/2005		EXAMINER	
CIBA VISION CORPORATION			COLLINS, DARRYL J	
PATENT DEP			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
11460 JOHNS DULUTH, GA	CREEK PARKWAY		2873	
Dobo III, Gr	1 30037 1330		DATE MAIL ED: 06/21/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/766,194	LINDACHER, JOSEPH MICHAEL			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Darryl J. Collins	2873			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replection of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed rs will be considered timely. I the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 N	Nav 2005.				
	<u> </u>				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
closed in accordance with the practice under	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-31</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,6,7,10,11,13 and 18</u> is/are reject. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>4,5,8,9,12,14-17 and 19-31</u> is/are ob. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	ed. jected to.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on 28 January 2004 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	e: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ction is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ejected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:				

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Claims 1 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Shinohara et al. Shinohara et al teaches all claimed limitations of the instant invention including an ophthalmic lens having a first optical zone located in the portion of a central zone (Figure 6, element 1) for primary gaze (column 13, lines 62), a second optical zone located in the lower portion of a central zone (Figure 6, element 3) for down gaze (column 13, lines 63) and a blending zone (Figure 6, element 2) located between the first and second optical zones, wherein the ophthalmic lens is characterized by having minimal or no ghost images or blur when transitioning between the first and second optical zones (column 10, line 66 - column 11, line 7) as claimed in independent claim 1. Shinohara et al also teaches the optical blending zone as having a mirror symmetry with respect to a plane cutting through the vertical meridian (Figure 6, element M) as claimed in dependent claim 6.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed.

Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-3, 6, 7, 10, 11, 13 and 18 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 2, 3, 17, 18, 19 and 23 of copending Application No. 10/980589. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because Application No. 10/980,589 teaches all the claimed features of the instant invention as claimed in independent claims 1 and 18 and dependent claims 2, 3, 6, 7 10, 11 and 13, but further teaches a pair of multifocal contact lenses. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the teachings of Application No. 10/980589 to produce an ophthalmic as claimed in independent claims 1 and 18 and dependent claims 2, 3, 6, 7 10, 11 and 13 of the present invention.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 4, 5, 8, 9, 12, 14-17 and 19-31 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 2873

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The prior art taken either singularly or in combination fails to anticipate or fairly suggest the limitations of the independent claims, in such a manner that a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102 or 103 would be proper. Although the prior art teaches an ophthalmic lens and a method for making the contact lens as outlines above, the prior art fails to teach such a lens or method of making such a lens wherein the distance between the first and second optical zones along the vertical meridian is 1.0 mm or less as claimed in dependent claim 4 or a method of manufacturing a contact lens wherein the distance between the vertex center of the second optical zone and the apex of the first optical zone is 1.0 mm or less as claimed in dependent claim 19.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2873

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Darryl J. Collins whose telephone number is 571-272-2325. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30 - 5:00 Monday - Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Georgia Y. Epps can be reached on 571-272-2328. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

dic

Scott J. Sugarman Primary Examiner